Country: Venezuela

Years: 1945-1947

Leader: Betancourt

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Betancourt’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes Betancourt as the “leader of the Democratic Action (AD) party and of the non-Communist Left in Latin America.” Political Handbook also describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Lentz (1994: 821) writes, “Betancourt returned to Venezuela after Gómez’s death in 1936 and founded the leftist newspaper *Orve*.” In 1941, Betancourt “founded the Democratic Action party.” Lentz (1994: 822) later identifies AD as leftist. Later on, Lentz (1994: 824) identifies his regime as left-wing. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center-left” (-0.71) in 1973.

Years: 1948-1949

Leader: Delgado Chalbaud

Ideology: rightist   
Description: HoG does not identify ideology. CHISOLS identifies Delgado Chalbaud’s party as none. Encyclopedia Britannica (2019) states that the November 1948 coup which placed Gomez in power was led by “conservative forces” that were strongly opposed to the leftist economic reforms of Democratic Action. Lentz (1994: 822) writes, “Delgado was the leader of the bloodless military coup that ousted Gallegos on November 24, 1948. He became provisional president as leader of the ruling three-man military junta composed of himself, Lt. Col. Marcos Pérez Jiménez, and Lt. Col. Luis Llovera Paez Secocin.” HoG identifies Pérez Jíménez’s ideology as rightist. Later on, Lentz (824) identifies the military coup that ousted Gallegos as right-wing.

Years: 1950-1957

Leader: Perez Jimenez

Ideology: rightist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as rightist. CHISOLS identifies Pérez Jiménez’s party affiliation as none. Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) states that in 1973, Pérez Jiménez belonged to a party called the Nationalist Civic Crusade, which it identifies as rightist.

Years: 1958

Leader: Sanabria

Ideology:

Description: HoG does not identify ideology. CHISOLS identifies Ugueto’s party affiliation as none. The Statesman’s Yearbook (2014) identifies Sanabria as non-partisan. World Statesmen (2019) and Rulers (2019) identify Sanabria as the chairman of the Government Junta in power at the time. Haggerty (1990) identifies the junta as a five-man provisional military junta that came into power after the military ousted Perez Jimenez and forced him to flee the country in 1958. He was the secretary of the junta from January 1958, and then replaced Wolfgang Larrazábal as the president of the board at the end of 1958. The junta’s major accomplishment was the establishment of the new democratic political system; the junta ruled in 1958 to “dismantle the institutions associated with the dictatorship and transfer power to a popularly elected civilian government.” According to Historical Dictionary of Venezuela (2018), Sanabria was a lawyer and professor of history prior to his position in the board, and afterwards served as ambassador to the Vatican, ambassador to Switzerland, and ambassador to Austria. Arceneaux (1969: v-vi) examines the Patriotic Junta, “an ad hoc committee organized in the summer of 1957 for the purpose of overthrowing the military dictator, Marcos Pérez Jiménez.” Arceneaux describes the government junta of 1958, which Sanabria led after Larrazábal’s resignation, and its primary goals: “Throughout the remainder of 1958, the Patriotic Junta, due to its popularity and prestige, continued to exercise considerable influence on Venezuelan politics. Its call for a political truce among individual political parties (in the name of civilian unity) was endorsed openly by the nation’s entire ideological spectrum. The Government Junta that replaced the dictatorship was committed to the cause of democracy and representative institutions. When it was threatened by rightist elements in the armed forces desiring a return to military rule, the Patriotic Junta used its influence to rally mass support behind the shaky provisional government.”

Years: 1959-1963

Leader: Betancourt

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Betancourt’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes Betancourt as the “leader of the Democratic Action (AD) party and of the non-Communist Left in Latin America.” Political Handbook also describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 821) writes, “Betancourt returned to Venezuela after Gómez’s death in 1936 and founded the leftist newspaper *Orve*.” In 1941, Betancourt “founded the Democratic Action party.” After Pérez Jiménez’s ouster in January of 1958, Betancourt “reorganized the Democratic Action party and was the party’s nominee for president in elections the following December. Betancourt was victorious and took office on February 13, 1959. He pushed for an agrarian reform program and increased industrial development in the country.” Lentz (1994: 822) later identifies AD as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center-left” (-0.71) in 1973.

Years: 1964-1968

Leader: Leoni

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Leoni’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 822) identifies AD as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center-left” (-0.71) in 1973.

Years: 1969-1973

Leader: Caldera Rodriguez

Ideology: rightist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as rightist. CHISOLS identifies Caldera Rodríguez’s party as Comité de Organización Política Electoral Independiente (COPEI). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes COPEI, writing, “Founded in 1946, COPEI offers a moderately conservative reflection of the social doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church. It nonetheless spans a wide range of opinión, from a clerical right wing to an ultraprogressive, youthful left wing.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as COPEI and identifies the party as center-right. Lentz (1994: 824) writes, “[Caldera] formed the center-right Socialist Christian party (COPEI) and was elected to the National Assembly in 1946.” Lentz continues, “[Caldera] again was his party’s candidate for president in elections in December of 1968.” Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify COPEI as center-right. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify COPEI’s ideology as “Center” (0.304) in 1973. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify “some visible disagreement” in COPEI in 1973.

Years: 1974-1978

Leader: Andres Perez

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Pérez’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Political Handbook also writes, “At internal AD balloting in September 1991 Carlos Pérez fanned party divisiveness by backing a minority ‘renewalist’ faction, led by Héctor Alonzo Lopez, that sought to oust the ‘orthodox’ leadership.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 822) identifies AD as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center-left” (-0.71) in 1973 and “Center-left” (-0.591) in 1978.

Years: 1979-1983

Leader: Campins

Ideology: rightist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as rightist. CHISOLS identifies Herrera Campins’ party as Comité de Organización Política Electoral Independiente (COPEI). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes COPEI, writing, “Founded in 1946, COPEI offers a moderately conservative reflection of the social doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church. It nonetheless spans a wide range of opinión, from a clerical right wing to an ultraprogressive, youthful left wing.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as COPEI and identifies the party as center-right. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify COPEI as center-right. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify COPEI’s ideology as “Center” (0.397) in 1978 and “Center” (0.508) in 1983. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify “some visible disagreement” in COPEI in 1978 and “a high level of visible disagreement” in COPEI in 1983.

Years: 1984-1988

Leader: Lusinchi

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Lusinchi’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 822) identifies AD as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center-left” (-0.615) in 1983 and “Center” (-0.225) in 1988. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify “some visible disagreement” in AD in 1983 and “a high level of visible disagreement” in AD in 1988.

Years: 1989-1992

Leader: Andres Perez

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Pérez’s party as Acción Democrática (AD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Political Handbook also writes, “At internal AD balloting in September 1991 Carlos Pérez fanned party divisiveness by backing a minority ‘renewalist’ faction, led by Héctor Alonzo Lopez, that sought to oust the ‘orthodox’ leadership.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 822) identifies AD as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD and identifies the party as leftist, “center-left”. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify AD’s ideology as “Center” (-0.225) in 1988. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify “a high level of visible disagreement” in AD in 1988.

Years: 1993

Leader: Velasquez

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG identifies ideology as leftist. CHISOLS identifies Velásquez’s party affiliation as none. Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes Velásquez as “a highly respected, pro-AD independent.” Political Handbook describes AD as “an advocate of rapid economic development, welfare policies, and Western values.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as AD but identifies the party as centrist. Lentz (1994: 822) identifies AD as leftist. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify AD as center-left.

Years: 1994-1998

Leader: Caldera Rodríguez

Ideology: rightist  
Description: HoG does not identify ideology. HoG identifies Rodríguez as rightist from 1963-1973. CHISOLS identifies Caldera Rodríguez’s party as Convergencia Nacional (CN/CD). Political Handbook of the World (2005-2006) describes COPEI, writing, “Founded in 1946, COPEI offers a moderately conservative reflection of the social doctrines of the Roman Catholic Church. It nonetheless spans a wide range of opinión, from a clerical right wing to an ultraprogressive, youthful left wing.” Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as Convergencia Nacional and identifies the party as center-right. In V-Party (2020), 9 experts identify CN’s ideology as “Center-right” (0.577) in 1993.

Years: 1999-2011

Leader: Hugo Chavez

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG does not identify ideology. CHISOLS identifies Chávez’s party as Movimiento V República (MVR) until 2006, and Partido Socialista Unido de Venezuela (PSUV) afterwards. DPI does not identify party ideology. Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify MVR’s ideology as leftist since 2005 and identify PSUV’s ideology as leftist. Perspective monde (2019) corroborates party affiliation as MVR and identifies the party as leftist. World Statesmen (2019) identifies party affiliation as MVR and then PSUV from 2007, identifying both parties as leftist, “socialist”. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify MVR’s ideology as “Left” (-2.38) in 1998, “Left” (-2.507) in 2000, and “Left” (-3.079) in 2005. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify PSUV’s ideology as “Far-left” (-3.404) in 2010. Funke et al. (2020: 152) identify Chávez as a “left-wing populist.”

Years: 2012-2020

Leader: Maduro

Ideology: leftist  
Description: HoG does not identify ideology. CHISOLS does not identify head of government. Lansford (2015) identifies Maduro’s party as Partido Socialista Unido de Venezuela (PSUV). Huber and Stephens (2016: 20) identify PSUV’s ideology as leftist. Perspective monde (2021) identifies party affiliation as Gran Polo Patriótico Simón Bolívar (GPPSB) and identifies the party as leftist. World Statesmen (2021) corroborates party affiliation as PSUV and identifies the party as leftist, “socialist”. In V-Party (2020), 10 experts identify PSUV’s ideology as “Far-left” (-3.404) in 2010 and “Left” (-3.222) in 2015. Funke et al. (2020: 153) identify Maduro as a “left-wing populist.”
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(2018). *Historical Dictionary of Venezuela* (3rd ed.). Lanham: Rowman &

Littlefield.

The Statesman’s Yearbook: The Politics, Cultures, and Economies of the World. 2014.

World Statesmen. 2019. Venezuela. https://worldstatesmen.org/Venezuela.html.